

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,175	08/26/2003	Nobuo Tomita	1326-017	4439
47888 75	90 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			WOOD, KEVIN S	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TOTAL, I	11 10000		2874	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/648,175	TOMITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin S. Wood	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r i. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 September 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/26/03</u> is/are: a)☐] accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	,	, , ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All`b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 	'	s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

FINAL REJECTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to the Amendment received on 29 September 2005. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. New claim 4 has been added. Claims 1-4 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/648,175

Art Unit: 2874

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,756,595 to Braun et al.

Referring to claim 1, the Braun et al. reference discloses an optical element module, having: a casing (44); an optical element (38) provided inside the casing; a first rubber boot (56) fixed to the input side end of the casing; a pipe (62) which is fixed to the first rubber boot and communicates the inside of the casing to the outside; and a primary coated optical fiber (40) which is inserted through the pipe in a loose structure and connected to the optical element. See Fig. 4 through Fig. 6B of the reference along with their respective portions of the specification. The Braun et al. reference does not specifically appear to disclose that the rubber boot (56) is fixed to the casing by adhesive. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an adhesive or any other suitable means for fixing the rubber boot to the casing, since adhesives are a well known in the art as an inexpensive and effective means for attaching and securing components to other components.

Referring to claim 2, the Braun et al. reference discloses the internal cavity of the optical element module is filled with oil. The reference does not appear to specifically disclose that the oil is a gel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a gel instead of the oil since equivalence of the gel and oil for their use in the optical element module as index

Application/Control Number: 10/648,175

Art Unit: 2874

matching incompressible fluids and the selection of any of these known equivalents would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Referring to claim 3, the Bruan et al. reference discloses the oil or gel should be an incompressible fluid. Therefore it would be obvious to use an oil or gel that would not over expand due to environmental temperature fluctuations.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/648,175

Art Unit: 2874

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin S. Wood whose telephone number is (571) 272-2364. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin S. Wood

AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER